ISO 18195:2019 (E)

Method for the justification of fire partitioning in water cooled nuclear power plants (NPP)

Contents

Foreword

Introduction

1 Scope

4

5

- 2 Normative references
- 3 Terms, definitions, symbols and abbreviated terms
 - 3.1 Terms and definitions
 - 3.2 Symbols
 - 3.3 Abbreviated terms
 - Method for justification of nuclear safety fire partitioning: global approach
 - 4.1 Objective of the method
 - 4.1.1 The basis of design: standard fire resistance tests
 - 4.1.2 Aim, limitations, and precautions
 - 4.1.2.1 Aim
 - 4.1.2.2 Limitations
 - 4.1.2.3 Precautions
 - 4.1.3 Minimum requirements concerning the qualification of the method practitioners
 - 4.2 General principle of the method
 - 4.3 Design of the partitioning: justification of the adequate performance of fire barriers
 - 4.4 Fire barriers and structural elements
 - 4.5 Overall flowchart (flowchart 1)
 - Determination of the design basis fire temperature curve (room fire curve)
 - 5.1 General considerations in room fire scenarios
 - 5.1.1 Typical development stages of a compartment fire
 - 5.1.1.1 Phase 1: ignition
 - 5.1.1.2 Phase 2: growth
 - 5.1.1.3 Phase 3: fully developed fire
 - 5.1.1.4 Phase 4: decay
 - 5.1.1.5 Phase 5: decay in the absence of combustion
 - 5.2 Defining the design basis fire temperature curve for a room
 - 5.3 Requirements concerning calculation tools
 - 5.4 Assumptions and input data for numerical calculations
 - 5.4.1 Fixed automatic fire fighting system (credited or not)
 - 5.4.2 Modeling assumptions
 - 5.4.3 Characteristics of a room
 - 5.4.3.1 Room shape:
 - 5.4.3.2 Openings at the boundaries of the room (Non fire resistant elements + shafts)
 - 5.4.3.3 Nature and thickness of the walls
 - 5.4.3.4 Mechanical ventilation
 - 5.4.3.5 Air leakage
 - 5.4.4 Nature of fuels
 - 5.4.5 Fire scenarios
 - 5.4.5.1 Summary
 - 5.4.5.2 Fire spreading
 - 5.4.5.3 Spreading criteria: PFG/PFL
 - 5.4.5.4 Scenarios taking into account the spreading factors
 - 5.4.5.5 Credited combustible mass
 - 5.4.5.6 Pyrolysis rate curves

- 5.4.6 Recommended value for pyrolysis rates
- 5.5 Design basis fire temperature curve calculation process
- 5.5.1 Data input
- 5.5.2 Modelling of the fire volume and scenarios
- 5.5.3 Modelling options
- 5.6 Determination of the fire temperature curve
- Determination of the performance of fire barriers (performance curve diagram)
 - 6.1 Principles

6

- 6.2 Characterization of the performance diagram: global methodology
- 6.3 Phase 1: analysis of the standard test
- 6.4 Phase 2 branch A: determination by calculation
- 6.4.1 Study approach
- 6.4.2 Selection of representative experimental curves
- 6.4.3 Calculation tools and choice of the modelling
- 6.4.4 Thermal properties of materials
- 6.4.4.1 Principles for the determination of thermal properties
- 6.4.4.2 Known materials
- 6.4.4.3 Materials with properties to be determined
- 6.4.5 Plotting performance curves from the reference curves
- 6.4.6 Plotting "steady state" curves
- 6.4.7 Plotting the performance diagram
- 6.5 Phase 2 BRANCH B: working out a new family system
- 6.5.1 Study approach for branch B
- 6.5.2 Experimental check (step 5B)
- 6.6 Phase 2 branch C: specific characterization tests
- 6.7 Alternative performance curves
- 6.8 Performance curve diagram
- 6.9 Validation of the models
- 7 Uncertainty and sensitivity
 - 7.1 Use of average values (zone model vs. CFD model)
 - 7.2 Uncertainties and sensitivities in fire temperature curve calculation
 - 7.3 Uncertainties and sensitivities in the performance curve process
- Annex A (informative) Spreading criterion PFG/PFL (examples)
 - A.1 PFG Room
 - A.2 PFL Room
 - A.3 Neither PFG nor PFL room
- Annex B (informative) Value examples for fire scenarios
 - B.1 Growth factors α
 - B.2 Maximum pyrolysis rate
 - B.2.1 Scenarios 1, 3, 6 and 8: PFG type fire
 - B.2.2 Scenario 2: PFL liquid fire
 - B.2.3 Scenarios 4 and 5: electrical cabinet or cubicle fire (PFL)
 - B.2.4 Scenario 7: cable fire (PFL)
 - B.2.4.1 Horizontal cable trays
 - B.2.4.2 Vertical cable racks
 - B.2.5 Scenario 9: fire of combustible masses in storage (PFL)
 - B.3 Other characteristics of the fire source
 - B.4 Summary of the complete fire scenario
- Annex C (informative) Loss of classification criteria : examples
- Annex D (informative) Example of performance diagram of a cable fire-wrap
 - D.1 Standard fire test: Cable wrap (EDF)
 - D.2 Analysis of the standard test
 - D.3 Determination of the performance criteria
 - D.4 Determination of physical characteristics
 - D.5 Determination of the performance diagram