

DIN 6868-161:2013-01 (E)

Image quality assurance in diagnostic X-ray departments - Part 161: RöV acceptance testing of dental radiographic equipment for digital cone-beam computed tomography

Contents	Page
Foreword	4
1 Scope	6
2 Normative references	6
3 Terms and definitions	6
4 Performance of the ACCEPTANCE TEST	7
4.1 General	7
4.2 TEST PHANTOM	8
4.3 Test items	10
4.3.1 Visual inspection and functional tests	10
4.3.2 Alignment of the RADIATION FIELD and the active detector area	11
4.3.3 Reproducibility of the dose	11
4.3.4 Spatial resolution of the reconstruction	11
4.3.5 Spatial resolution	12
4.3.6 ACCEPTANCE INDEX	12
4.3.7 HOMOGENEITY INDEX	14
4.3.8 ARTEFACTS	15
Annex A (normative) Simplified determination of the MODULATION TRANSFER BEHAVIOUR	16
Annex B (normative) Calculation of the CONTRAST-TO-NOISE INDEX	18
Annex C (informative) Possible ARTEFACTS in DENTAL DIGITAL CONE-BEAM COMPUTED TOMOGRAPHY (CBCT)	20
C.1 General	20
C.2 Beam-hardening ARTEFACTS	20
C.3 Extinction ARTEFACTS	21
C.4 Partial volume effect and exponential-edge-gradient effect (EEGE)	22
C.5 Aliasing ARTEFACTS	22
C.6 Ring ARTEFACTS	23
C.7 ARTEFACTS caused by geometrical errors (e.g., motion ARTEFACTS)	24
Annex D (informative) Dose measurement in DENTAL DIGITAL CONE-BEAM COMPUTED TOMOGRAPHY (CBCT) -- Differences from computed tomography	25
D.1 Background	25
D.2 Prerequisites for the measurement of dose in DENTAL CBCT	25
D.2.1 Imaged volume	25
D.2.2 Scan geometry	25
D.2.3 Measurement devices	26
D.3 Summary	26
Annex E (informative) Figures	27
E.1 MODULATION TRANSFER BEHAVIOUR	28

E.2	Scan geometry	28
E.3	HOMOGENEITY	29
Bibliography		30
Keyword index		32
Figures	Figure 1 -- Set-up and alignment of the TEST PHANTOM in the beam path	8
	Figure 2 -- Homogeneous elements of the TEST PHANTOM	9
	Figure 3 -- Structure elements of the TEST PHANTOM; top view and cross-sectional view	10
	Figure C.1 -- Typical striped beam-hardening ARTEFACTS in the direction of the beam path, caused by metal implants (titanium) in DENTAL CBCT	21
	Figure C.2 -- Extinction ARTEFACTS in the direction of the beam path due to very dense gold restorations in the teeth	21
	Figure C.3 -- Intensity errors	22
	Figure C.4 -- Subtle line patterns (Moiré patterns)	23
	Figure C.5 -- Ring ARTEFACTS	23
	Figure C.6 -- Typical motion ARTEFACTS that manifest themselves as double contours	24
	Figure E.1 -- Example of the positioning and borders of the region of interest (ROI) used to determine the MODULATION TRANSFER BEHAVIOUR	27
	Figure E.2 -- Example of the graphical presentation of the MODULATION TRANSFER BEHAVIOUR .	28
	Figure E.3 -- Horizontal slice through a scan geometry (Example 1)	28
	Figure E.4 -- Horizontal slice through a scan geometry (Example 2)	29
	Figure E.5 -- Example for the position and borders of the fields for the determination of HOMOGENEITY	29