

ISO 20784:2021 (E)

Sensory analysis — Guidance on substantiation for sensory and consumer product claims

Contents

| | |
|---------|--|
| | Foreword |
| | Introduction |
| 1 | Scope |
| 2 | Normative references |
| 3 | Terms and definitions |
| 4 | General considerations |
| 5 | Guiding principles for sensory claims substantiation |
| 5.1 | General |
| 5.2 | Reviewing governmental legislation and regulations |
| 5.3 | Defining the primary claim(s) and designing the test to address them |
| 5.4 | Determining the type of claim: single product or comparative testing |
| 5.5 | Defining the decision criteria |
| 5.6 | Defining the set of relevant products |
| 5.7 | Defining the population of relevant consumers or assessors |
| 5.8 | Defining the strength of evidence |
| 5.9 | Ensuring impartiality |
| 5.10 | Ensuring reliability |
| 6 | Classification of sensory claims |
| 6.1 | Classification |
| 6.2 | Wording |
| 6.3 | Non-comparative sensory claims |
| 6.4 | Comparative sensory claims |
| 7 | Methods |
| Annex A | (informative) Sensory claims case studies |
| A.1 | Non-comparative — Affective: “Tastes great” |
| A.2 | Non-comparative — Performance: “Leaves no residue” |
| A.3 | Non-comparative — Performance: “Easy to prepare” |
| A.4 | Comparative — Affective: “30 % reduced salt, same great taste” |
| A.5 | Comparative — Unsurpassed — Performance: “Cleans as well as the leading brand” |
| A.6 | Comparative — Superiority — Affective: “The ketchup taste consumers prefer on their burger” |
| A.7 | Comparative — Superiority — Performance: “Less clumping than other comparably-priced brands” |
| A.8 | Non-comparative — Attribute: “Now less bitter” or “now with more roasted flavour” |
| Annex B | (informative) Type I error rates as a function of the number of statistical tests in a study |