

ISO/TR 12930:2014-04 (E)

Seismic design examples based on ISO 23469

| Contents | | Page |
|--------------------|---|-------------|
| Foreword | | vi |
| Introduction | | vii |
| 1 | Scope | 1 |
| 2 | Purpose and policy of collecting design examples | 1 |
| 2.1 | Purpose of collecting well-chosen examples | 1 |
| 2.2 | Concept and policy of choosing and composing | 2 |
| 2.3 | Development and result | 2 |
| 2.4 | General conclusion of TR12930 obtained through its development | 2 |
| 2.5 | Editors, authors and reviewers | 3 |
| 2.5.1 | Editors | 3 |
| 2.5.2 | Authors | 3 |
| 2.5.3 | Reviewers | 4 |
| 3 | Assessment for conformity with ISO 23469 | 4 |
| 4 | First stage of specifying seismic actions - Determination of site-specific earthquake ground motions demonstrated by design examples | 4 |
| 4.1 | General | 5 |
| 4.1.1 | Methodology for empirical method in deterministic approach and examples | 5 |
| 4.1.2 | Examples | 6 |
| 4.2 | Site-specific seismic hazard analysis evaluation | 7 |
| 4.2.1 | Probabilistic approach- Probabilistic seismic hazard analysis with focus on Fourier amplitude and group delay time | 8 |
| 4.2.1.1 | Outline | 8 |
| 4.2.1.2 | Evaluation of Site Amplification Factor | 9 |
| 4.2.1.3 | Earthquake scenarios and probability of occurrence | 10 |
| 4.2.1.4 | Evaluation of Fourier amplitude spectra | 11 |
| 4.2.1.5 | Evaluation of uniform hazard Fourier spectrum | 12 |
| 4.2.1.6 | Evaluation of ground motion time history | 13 |
| 4.2.1.7 | Example of application | 13 |
| 4.2.2 | Site-specific approach on earthquake motions probabilistically evaluated in a LNG tank design considering a specific active fault | 18 |
| 4.2.2.1 | General procedure and design example | 18 |
| 4.2.3 | Deterministic approach - Theoretical ground motion estimation based on hypothetical scenario earthquakes | 21 |
| 4.2.3.1 | Methodology for theoretical ground motion estimation | 21 |
| 4.2.3.2 | Recipe for strong ground motion estimation | 23 |
| 4.2.3.3 | Sedimentary structure model | 26 |
| 4.2.3.4 | Examples of strong ground motion estimation | 28 |
| 4.2.4 | Deterministic approach - Ground motion estimation based on semi empirical approach .. | 29 |
| 4.2.4.1 | Outline | 29 |
| 4.2.4.2 | Evaluation of site amplification factor | 31 |
| 4.2.4.3 | Evaluation of strong ground motion | 34 |
| 4.2.4.4 | Example of application | 39 |
| 4.3 | Determination of earthquake ground motion to be used in site response analysis | 43 |
| 4.3.1 | Empirical and site simplified analysis approach | 43 |
| 4.3.1.1 | Simplified procedure of Seismic Deformation Method | 43 |
| 4.3.1.2 | Natural period of an example ground | 45 |
| 4.3.1.3 | Ground displacement | 46 |

| | | |
|-----------------|---|------------|
| 5 | Second stage of specifying seismic actions. Seismic evaluation of geotechnical works demonstrated by design examples | 47 |
| 5.1 | Demonstrations of seismic evaluation using simplified and detailed analyses | 47 |
| 5.1.1 | Simplified static and detailed dynamic analyses in design example of gravity quay wall in port | 47 |
| 5.1.1.1 | Purpose and functions | 47 |
| 5.1.1.2 | Performance objectives for seismic design | 47 |
| 5.1.1.3 | Reference earthquake motions | 48 |
| 5.1.1.4 | Performance criteria and limit states | 48 |
| 5.1.1.5 | Specific issues related to geotechnical works | 50 |
| 5.1.1.6 | Procedure for determining seismic actions | 50 |
| 5.1.1.7 | Ground failure and other geotechnical hazards | 52 |
| 5.1.1.8 | Spatial variation | 55 |
| 5.1.1.9 | Types and models of analysis | 55 |
| 5.1.1.10 | Simplified equivalent static analysis | 57 |
| 5.1.1.11 | Detailed equivalent static analysis | 61 |
| 5.1.1.12 | Simplified dynamic analysis | 61 |
| 5.1.1.13 | Detailed dynamic analysis | 61 |
| 5.1.2 | Highway bridge pile foundation | 64 |
| 5.1.2.1 | Outline of the highway bridge | 64 |
| 5.1.2.2 | Seismic performance requirements | 66 |
| 5.1.2.3 | Input ground motions used in seismic design and analysis model of the entire bridge | 68 |
| 5.1.2.4 | Seismic design of foundations | 71 |
| 5.1.3 | Assessment of seismic performance of the Sutong Bridge, a long cable-stayed bridge (Pile foundation) | 79 |
| 5.1.3.1 | Bridge outline | 79 |
| 5.1.3.2 | Design seismic ground motion and seismic performance | 80 |
| 5.1.3.3 | Seismic performance of foundations | 82 |
| 5.1.4 | Earth fill dam | 86 |
| 5.1.4.1 | Purpose and functions | 86 |
| 5.1.4.2 | Performance objectives for seismic design | 87 |
| 5.1.4.3 | Procedure for determining seismic actions | 88 |
| 5.1.4.4 | Soil properties and models for detailed dynamic analysis | 90 |
| 5.1.4.5 | Simplified equivalent static analysis: Slip analysis results; | 93 |
| 5.1.4.1 | Detailed dynamic analysis: Results of FEM dynamic analysis; | 94 |
| 5.1.5 | Gravity sea wall as coastal structure | 97 |
| 5.1.5.1 | Purpose and functions | 97 |
| 5.1.5.2 | Performance objectives for seismic design | 97 |
| 5.1.5.3 | Reference earthquake motions | 98 |
| 5.1.5.4 | Performance criteria and limit states | 98 |
| 5.1.5.5 | Specific issues related to geotechnical works | 100 |
| 5.1.5.6 | Procedure for determining seismic actions | 100 |
| 5.1.5.7 | Earthquake ground motions | 100 |
| 5.1.5.8 | Seismic coefficient determinations | 102 |
| 5.1.5.9 | Effects of soil liquefaction | 105 |
| 5.1.5.10 | Spatial variation | 107 |
| 5.1.5.11 | Procedure for specifying seismic actions | 107 |
| 5.2 | Demonstrations evaluating and designing for ground displacement effects | 111 |
| 5.2.1 | Pile foundations of railway bridges | 111 |
| 5.2.1.1 | Outline of railway bridge pier | 111 |
| 5.2.1.2 | Seismic performance requirements | 112 |
| 5.2.1.3 | Reference earthquake ground motions | 115 |
| 5.2.1.4 | Site response analysis and assessment of liquefaction potential | 117 |
| 5.2.1.5 | Procedure for specifying seismic actions on piles | 119 |
| 5.2.1.6 | Simplified equivalent static analysis - Seismic Deformation Method | 120 |
| 5.2.2 | Design and actual performance of pile foundation of high R/C smokestack on soft ground | 125 |
| 5.2.2.1 | General remarks | 125 |
| 5.2.2.2 | Purpose and functions | 126 |
| 5.2.2.3 | Performance objectives for seismic design and reference earthquake motions | 126 |
| 5.2.2.4 | Performance criteria and limit states | 127 |

| | | |
|--|--|-----|
| 5.2.2.5 | Policy of determining seismic actions on superstructure and foundation for design | 129 |
| 5.2.2.6 | Features of smokestack and geotechnical characterization | 131 |
| 5.2.2.7 | Models of simplified and detailed dynamic analyses for specifying seismic actions | 135 |
| 5.2.2.8 | Results of detailed dynamic analyses | 138 |
| 5.2.2.9 | Verification of models based on vibration tests | 139 |
| 5.2.2.10 | Actual seismic behaviour of ground and smokestack | 142 |
| 5.2.2.11 | Verification of models based on strong motion records | 145 |
| 5.2.3 | Shallow immersed rectangular tunnel in soft soils | 150 |
| 5.2.3.1 | Thessaloniki immersed roadway tunnel | 150 |
| 5.2.3.2 | Behaviour of longitudinal underground structures under seismic loading | 151 |
| 5.2.3.3 | Analysis methods | 152 |
| 5.2.3.4 | Determination of input motion | 153 |
| 5.2.3.5 | Simplified equivalent static analysis | 154 |
| 5.2.3.6 | Detailed equivalent static analysis | 156 |
| 5.2.3.7 | Detailed full dynamic analysis | 158 |
| 5.2.3.8 | Results and discussion | 159 |
| 5.3 | Demonstrations evaluating and designing for liquefaction effects | 161 |
| 5.3.1 | Evaluation of 3D SSI effects of pile foundation of LNG tank model by detailed dynamic analyses | 161 |
| 5.3.1.1 | Problem description | 161 |
| 5.3.1.2 | Results of analyses and discussion | 162 |
| 5.3.1.3 | Consideration of results into design | 166 |
| 5.3.2 | Evaluation of 3-D effects of lattice-arranged numerous piles by detailed dynamic analyses | 166 |
| 5.3.2.1 | Objectives | 166 |
| 5.3.2.2 | Results of analyses and discussion | 166 |
| 5.3.3 | Evaluation of pile-volume effects of a huge number of piles by detailed dynamic analyses | 169 |
| 5.3.3.1 | Introduction | 169 |
| 5.3.3.2 | Results of analyses and discussion | 169 |
| 5.3.3.3 | Consideration of results into design | 170 |
| 5.4 | Demonstrations evaluating and designing for fault displacement effects | 171 |
| 5.4.1 | Seismic design abstract of road embankment taking account of surface fault rupture | 171 |
| 5.4.1.1 | Purpose and functions | 171 |
| 5.4.1.2 | Performance objectives and ground motions for seismic design | 172 |
| 5.4.1.3 | Performance criteria | 172 |
| 5.4.1.4 | Procedure for determining seismic actions | 172 |
| 5.4.1.5 | Ground failure and other geotechnical hazards | 173 |
| 5.4.1.6 | Types of analysis | 174 |
| 5.4.1.7 | Simple static analysis | 174 |
| 5.4.1.8 | Detailed dynamic analysis | 174 |
| 5.4.2 | Shield tunnel subject to fault displacements (Detailed analysis) | 176 |
| 5.4.2.1 | General remarks | 176 |
| 5.4.2.2 | Soil conditions and shield tunnel | 176 |
| 5.4.2.3 | Estimation of fault displacement at base layer | 176 |
| 5.4.2.4 | Method of analysis and modelling nonlinear behaviour of soil | 178 |
| 5.4.2.5 | Results of analyses | 180 |
| 5.4.2.6 | Influence of fault displacement to tunnel | 183 |
| 5.4.3 | Design considerations for a water pipeline access tunnel subject to earthquake hazards | 184 |
| 5.4.3.1 | Purpose and functions | 184 |
| 5.4.3.2 | Project description | 184 |
| 5.4.3.3 | Performance objectives and reference earthquake design levels | 186 |
| 5.4.3.4 | Performance criteria | 187 |
| 5.4.3.5 | Specific issues related to geotechnical works | 187 |
| 5.4.3.6 | Evaluation of earthquake ground motions and fault displacements | 188 |
| 5.4.3.7 | Simplified equivalent static analysis | 192 |
| Annex A (informative) Conformity with provisional sentences in ISO 23469 | | 201 |
| A.1 | General | 201 |