DIN CWA 16649:2013-10 (E)

Managing emerging technology-related risks; English version CWA 16649:2013

Contents

Page

Foreword 7

Introduction 8

Acknowledgements 9

1 Scope 11

2 Normative references 12

3 Terms and definitions 12

4 Symbols and abbreviations 19

5 Emerging risks 21
5.1 Emerging risks, innovation and engineering 21
5.2 Emerging risks definition 22
5.3 Extending and implementing the definition in ERMF 23
5.4 Scales, classes and levels of emerging risks 25
5.5 Maturation of emerging risks 29

6 Requirements 30
6.1 General requirements 30
6.2 Requirements resulting from iNTeg-Risk project 31
6.3 Implementation related requirements 32

7 ERMF – Emerging risk management framework 33
7.1 Main principles of ERMF 33
7.2 The 10 steps of ERMF 34
7.3 Comparing the iNTeg-Risk ERMF with general risk management frameworks 43
7.4 Details on implementing the ERMF 46

8 Concluding remarks 48

Annex A (informative) **Application case for new technologies** 49
A.1 Introduction 49
A.2 Specific topic and example case 50
A.2.1 General remarks/overview related to the specific topic 50
A.2.2 Introducing the example case - Unconventional gas 50
A.3 Procedure for management of emerging risks 51
A.3.1 Horizon screening 51
A.3.2 Pre-Assessment 52
A.3.3 Appraisal/Assessment 58
A.3.4 Continuous activities 66
A.4 Conclusions/Summary 67
A.5 Example – Use of new technologies for unconventional gas 67
A.5.1 Hydraulic fracturing 67

Annex B (informative) **Emerging risks in new materials and products** 72
B.1 Introduction 72
B.2 Specific topic and example case 73
B.2.1 General remarks/overview related to the specific topic 73
B.3 Procedure for management of emerging risks 73
B.3.1 Horizon screening 73
B.3.2 Pre-Assessment 74
B.3.3 Appraisal/Assessment 77
B.3.4 Continuous activities 80
B.4 Conclusions/Summary 81
B.5 Example - Carbon nanotubes 82

B.5.1 Introduction **82**
B.5.2 Lack of standardized physical and chemical information **83**
B.5.3 Fibrous nanomaterials emerging risk **83**

Annex C (informative) **Emerging risks in new production and production networks** **85**
C.1 Introduction **85**
C.2 Specific topic and example case **86**
C.2.1 General remarks/overview related to the specific topic **86**
C.2.2 Introducing the example case - Drilling in Arctic **86**
C.3 Procedure for management of emerging risks **87**
C.3.1 Horizon Screening **87**
C.3.2 Pre-Assessment **88**
C.3.3 Appraisal/Assessment **90**
C.3.4 Continuous activities **93**
C.4 Conclusions/Summary **94**
C.5 Example – Drilling in Arctic **94**
C.5.1 Introduction **94**
C.5.2 Needs and opportunities **95**
C.5.3 Status of offshore petroleum activity in the Arctic **96**

Annex D (informative) **Emerging risk policies** **97**
D.1 Introduction **97**
D.2 Specific topic and example case **98**
D.2.1 General remarks/overview related to the specific topic **98**
D.2.2 Introducing the example case - Nano-regulation **99**
D.3 Process for management of emerging risks **101**
D.3.1 Horizon screening **101**
D.3.2 Pre-Assessment **101**
D.3.3 Appraisal/Assessment **103**
D.3.4 Continuous activities **105**
D.4 Conclusions/Summary **106**

Annex E (informative) **Emerging risks due to uncertainties in measurement and characterization** **107**
E.1 Introduction **107**
E.2 Specific topic and example case **108**
E.2.1 General remarks/overview related to the specific topic **108**
E.2.2 Introducing the example case extreme storage of hazardous materials **109**
E.3 Procedure for management of emerging risks **109**
E.3.1 Horizon screening **109**
E.3.2 Pre-Assessment **110**
E.3.3 Appraisal/Assessment **113**
E.3.4 Continuous Activities **116**
E.4 Conclusions/Summary **117**
E.5 Example – Extreme storage of hazardous materials **118**

Annex F (informative) **Examples of factors which can influence the emerging character of a risk - the 50 iNTeg-Risk factors of emergence** **120**
F.1 Introduction **120**
F.2 Factors using generic scale **121**
F.3 Factors using specific/customized scale **124**

Annex G (informative) **Used Tools** **129**
G.1 RiskEars **129**
G.2 Risk Tweet **130**
G.2.1 Activity **131**
G.2.2 Trend **131**
G.2.3 Criticality **131**
G.3 S-RDI tool **131**
G.4 Agent based modeling **132**

Annex H (informative) **Sample list of emerging risks** **133**

Bibliography **138**

Figures

Figure 1 — Relations among the informative Annexes and the main document 11

Figure 2 — Emergence as 3rd dimension of emerging risks..... 24

Figure 3 — General understanding of scales, classes and levels as applied to emerging risks in this document 25

Figure 4 — Threat-opportunity based representation, combined with emerging character (emergence) of risks (threat and opportunity) 26

Figure 5 — Example of use classes of benefits, likelihood and levels of risk (opportunity) 28

Figure 6 — Maturation of emerging risk through accumulation of knowledge 29

Figure 7 — Example of three different maturation paths for emerging risks: archived or back-listed notion (C), emerging risk which have achieved stable (mature) status (B), and risks still emerging (A) 30

Figure 8 — Organization of iNTeg-Risk deliverables leading to this CEN WS document..... 34

Figure 9 — The 10 steps of the ERMF 35

Figure 10 — Main distinctive characteristics of the ERMF process 43

Figure 11 — Using ISO 31000 and IRGC frameworks as a base for creating iNTeg-Risk ERMF 45

Figure 12 — Details on the implementation of the ERMF applicable in certain contexts..... 46

Figure 13 — Example of process for management of emerging risks based on ERMF and illustration of the maturation of emerging risks 47

Figure A.1 — Registration of warning/notion in iNTeg-Risk RiskEars..... 51

Figure A.2 — Risk Tweet, with collected information on tweets related to fracking from Twitter service 52

Figure A.3 — Risk/benefit perception for fracking 53

Figure A.4 — Example of Step 2: NTA model for fracking in case of (Lack of acceptance in) Germany (linked to media reports)..... 54

Figure A.5 — Example of Step 2: NTA model for fracking case depicting large public dissatisfaction that can be compared to current hydraulic fracturing related problem in Basque Country [21] 55

Figure A.6 — Risk Story from RiskEars (describing an emerging risk scenario) about contamination of underground water for fracking topic 56

Figure A.7 — Example of Step 4: Extract from the pre-assessment; filled pre-assessment for contamination of (surface and) underground water for unconventional gas example in form of the slider setting from an expert considering only environmental risks of technology 57

Figure A.8 — Example of Step 5: S-RDI analysis for unconventional gas topic when comparing it with other ERRAs (using single link clustering option)..... 60

Figure A.9 — Example of Step 5: C-RDI analysis from DataEngine software of contamination of (surface and) underground water for unconventional gas 61

Figure A.10 — Example of Step 6: Characterization of contamination of (surface and) underground water scenario of unconventional gas 62

Figure A.11 — Example for five unconventional gas scenarios MCDM analysis based on 12 IRGC factors (for more information about factors please see Table F.4) 64

Figure A.12 — Graphical representation of shale gas resources in Germany overlapping with natural protected areas in Baden-Württemberg (shown red) from iNTeg-Risk RiskAtlas 65

Figure A.13 — Process of hydraulic fracturing [30] 68

Figure A.14 — Projections of global mean surface temperatures for six SRES non-mitigation scenarios as presented by IPCC AR4 and the year 2000 constant concentration experiment [14] 69

Figure A.15 — River system in US (left), locations of major drilling campaigns in US (right) [36] 69

Figure A.16 — Employment in various US industries and natural gas prices at major global market [38] 70

Figure A.17 — Major unconventional natural gas resources in Europe [29] 70

Figure A.18 — Map of 48 major shale gas basins in 32 countries [25] 71

Figure B.1 — Image of asbestos fibres similar to fibrous nanomaterials. 83

Figure C.1 — Geographical location of the Arctic Sea [92] 95

Figure G.1 — Overview of notions (RiskSparks, with notions included in watch list and copy list), ERIs, ERRAs and Super ERRAs 129

Figure G.2 — Reference to Google trends results for geomagnetic storms 130

Figure G.3 — MACD 131

Tables

Table 1 — Example of Color-code for scoring emerging risks (and opportunity) 27

Table 2 — Example of Color-code for the status in the (pre)assessment process 27

Table 3 — Examples of likelihood scale – 5 classes 27

Table 4 — Examples of negative impacts (consequences) scale – 5 classes 28

Table 5 — Short description of 10 steps of the ERMF 35

Table 6 — Extended description of the 10 steps of the ERMF 36

Table 7 — Profile of involvement of the stakeholders at different levels of governance for a hypothetical example of an emerging risk (the colors indicate the level of concern; concern primarily at national level) 48

Table A.1 — List of ERRAs considered in iNTeg-Risk project 58

Table A.2 — List of 50 iNTeg-Risk factors 63

Table F.1 — Generic scale for classes of emergence for emerging risks 120

Table F.2 — Examples of factors which can influence the emerging character (emergence) of emerging risks 121

Table F.3 — Examples of factors which can influence the emerging character (emergence) of emerging risks 124

Table F.4 — The 12 IRGC Factors 127

Table H.1 — Sample list of emerging risks 133