

ISO/IEC 14143-2:2002-11 (E)

Information technology - Software measurement; Functional size measurement - Part 2: Conformity evaluation of software size measurement methods to ISO/IEC 14143-1:1998

| Contents | Page |
|---|-------------|
| Foreword | iv |
| Introduction | v |
| 1 Scope | 1 |
| 2 Normative references | 2 |
| 3 Terms and definitions | 2 |
| 4 Conformity evaluation | 4 |
| 4.1 Overview | 4 |
| 4.2 Evaluator characteristics | 5 |
| 4.2.1 Evaluator organization | 5 |
| 4.2.2 Conformity evaluation team | 5 |
| 4.3 Inputs to conformity evaluation | 6 |
| 4.3.1 List of inputs | 6 |
| 4.3.2 Candidate FSM Method documentation | 6 |
| 4.3.3 Conformity evaluation plan | 7 |
| 4.3.4 Conformity evaluation procedure | 7 |
| 4.3.5 Conformity evaluation checklist | 7 |
| 4.4 Tasks and steps of the conformity evaluation procedure | 9 |
| 4.4.1 Guidance | 9 |
| 4.4.2 Tasks and Steps | 9 |
| 4.5 Conformity evaluation output | 13 |
| 4.6 Conformity evaluation result | 14 |
| Annex A (informative) Evaluator capability | 15 |
| A.1 Conformity evaluation team | 15 |
| A.2 Demonstration of competence | 15 |
| A.2.1 Individual declaration | 15 |
| A.2.2 Evaluation practice | 15 |
| A.2.3 Software size measurement concepts | 16 |
| A.2.4 Software size measurement practice | 16 |
| Annex B (informative) Example of a conformity evaluation checklist | 17 |
| B.1 Introduction | 17 |
| B.1.1 Background | 17 |
| B.1.2 Structure | 17 |
| B.1.3 Instructions | 17 |
| B.2 Conformity evaluation checklist | 17 |
| B.2.1 Part 1 - requirements | 17 |
| B.2.2 Part 2 - recommendations | 25 |
| Annex C (informative) Example of a conformity evaluation report | 29 |
| C.1 Introduction | 29 |

| | | |
|-----|---|----|
| C.2 | Executive summary | 29 |
| C.3 | Conformity evaluation checklist | 30 |
| C.4 | Original conformity evaluation plan | 31 |
| C.5 | Justifications for results | 31 |
| C.6 | Conformity evaluation procedure | 31 |
| C.7 | Qualifications of conformity evaluation team | 31 |
| C.8 | Record of the liaison with the owner during the conformity evaluation process | 32 |