

ISO/IEC TR 30167:2021-06 (E)

Internet of Things (IoT) - Underwater communication technologies for IoT

Contents	Page
FOREWORD.....	4
INTRODUCTION.....	5
1 Scope.....	6
2 Normative references	6
3 Terms and definitions	6
4 Symbols and abbreviated terms.....	6
5 Enabling/driving technologies of underwater communication.....	7
5.1 General.....	7
5.2 Acoustic communication.....	8
5.2.1 Technical overview	8
5.2.2 Trend of technology (modern communication trends).....	14
5.3 Optical (wire/wireless) communication	21
5.3.1 Technical overview	21
5.3.2 Trend of technology (modern communication trends).....	24
5.4 Very Low Frequency (VLF)/Extremely Low Frequency (ELF).....	28
5.4.1 Technical overview	28
5.4.2 Trend of technology (modern communication trends).....	31
5.5 Magnetic fusion communication (MFC).....	39
5.5.1 Technical overview	39
5.5.2 Trend of technology (modern communication trends).....	42
Bibliography.....	54
Figure 1 – Example of underwater acoustic sensor network system	8
Figure 2 – Path loss of sound wave	10
Figure 3 – Multipath of sound wave.....	10
Figure 4 – Terrestrial/underwater interworking gateway	13
Figure 5 – Underwater cable structure	21
Figure 6 – Fibre-optic wired communication system overview	21
Figure 7 – Current underwater cable map	23
Figure 8 – Optical wired communication system overview	25
Figure 9 – Optical wired communication system based on WDM technology	25
Figure 10 – Trideco antenna tower array used in the US Navy's Cutler station.....	29
Figure 11 – Valley-span antenna type used by the US navy station, Jim Creek.....	29
Figure 12 – Aerial photograph of Clam Lake ELF facility in Wisconsin, USA (1982)	34
Figure 13 – Cutler VLF transmitter's antenna towers.....	36
Figure 14 – Cutler antenna array	36
Figure 15 – VLF transmission centre in Japan	38
Figure 16 – Trideco-type antenna placement in Harold E. Holt.....	38
Figure 17 – Australian VLF transmitter (1979).....	39

Figure 18 – Shape of envelope	40
Figure 19 – BPSK modulated signal.....	41
Figure 20 – Magnetic field communication and Zigbee communication comparison experiment.....	42
Figure 21 – Experimental water tank for comparing magnetic field communication characteristics according to medium and distance	43
Figure 22 – Experimental water tank filled with water and soil.....	43
Figure 23 – Strength of magnetic field due to distance in air, water, and soil	44
Figure 24 – Physical layer packet format.....	45
Figure 25 – Preamble area type	45
Figure 26 – Header area type	45
Figure 27 – Encoding circuit of header check cyclic redundancy code.....	46
Figure 28 – Payload area format.....	46
Figure 29 – Definition of Manchester coding	47
Figure 30 – Definition of NRZ-L coding	47
Figure 31 – Scrambler block diagram.....	48
Figure 32 – ASK modulation diagram.....	49
Figure 33 – BPSK modulation diagram.....	49
Figure 34 – Preamble coding and modulation process	49
Figure 35 – Process of coding and modulating headers	50
Figure 36 – Process of coding and modulating the payload.....	50
Figure 37 – Magnetic fusion communication super frame structure	50
Figure 38 – Magnetic field communication network structure.....	51
Figure 39 – Magnetic fusion (power transfer) communication network super-frame structure	52
Figure 40 – Magnetic fusion (power transfer) communication network structured diagram	53
Table 1 – Envelope parameters	40
Table 2 – Intensity of magnetic field due to distance in air, water, and soil.....	44
Table 3 – Definition of data rate and coding.....	46
Table 4 – Definition of frame check cyclic redundancy code.....	47
Table 5 – Data rate and coding details.....	48