

E DIN EN 18352:2026-09 (D/E)

Erscheinungsdatum: 2026-07-31

Qualitätsrahmen für die interne Datenverwaltung für Teilnehmer an Datenräumen;
Deutsche und Englische Fassung prEN 18352:2026

Quality framework for internal data governance for participants in data spaces;
German and English version prEN 18352:2026

Inhalt

Seite

European foreword	7
Introduction	8
1 Scope	9
2 Normative references	9
3 Terms and definitions.....	9
3.1 General	9
3.2 Roles	11
3.3 Data Sharing.....	11
4 Principles for data governance in the context of data space participation.....	12
4.1 Trust as a foundation	12
4.2 Continuity of internal data governance	13
4.3 Ethics in data management and use	13
4.4 Respect for data rights and responsibilities.....	13
4.5 Cross-border consistency	13
4.6 Fitness for purpose	13
4.7 Alignment with legal, contractual, and operational rules.....	13
4.8 Proportionality and scalability	13
4.9 Commitment to continuous quality improvement.....	13
5 Data space participants roles and processes	14
5.1 Overview of the type of roles involved in data space operations	14
5.2 Data space governance authority.....	14
5.3 Data provider	14
5.4 Data user	15
5.5 Data space technological provider	15
5.6 Data rights holder	15
6 Overview of the Process Reference Model	16
7 Data space governance processes.....	16
7.1 Establishment of the data space strategy	16
7.1.1 Purpose.....	16
7.1.2 Outcomes	17
7.1.3 Activities	17
7.1.4 Involved roles.....	20
7.2 Establishment of data space rulebook	20
7.2.1 Purpose.....	20
7.2.2 Outcomes	21
7.2.3 Activities	21
7.2.4 Involved roles.....	22
7.3 Establishment of internal organisational structures for the data space.....	23
7.3.1 Purpose.....	23
7.3.2 Outcomes	23
7.3.3 Activities	23
7.3.4 Involved roles.....	25
7.4 Data space risk optimisation.....	26
7.4.1 Purpose.....	26

7.4.2	Outcomes.....	26
7.4.3	Activities.....	26
7.4.4	Involved roles.....	28
7.5	Data space value optimisation	28
7.5.1	Purpose.....	28
7.5.2	Outcomes.....	28
7.5.3	Activities.....	29
7.5.4	Involved roles	30
8	Data space management processes	30
8.1	Data space management	30
8.1.1	Purpose.....	30
8.1.2	Outcomes.....	31
8.1.3	Activities.....	31
8.1.4	Involved Roles	33
8.2	Data product management.....	34
8.2.1	Purpose.....	34
8.2.2	Outcomes.....	34
8.2.3	Activities.....	34
8.2.4	Roles	35
8.3	Data product requirements management.....	36
8.3.1	Purpose.....	36
8.3.2	Outcomes.....	36
8.3.3	Activities.....	36
8.3.4	Roles	37
8.4	Management of trustworthiness requirements	38
8.4.1	Purpose.....	38
8.4.2	Outcomes.....	38
8.4.3	Activities.....	38
8.4.4	Roles	39
8.5	Management of data product metadata requirements	40
8.5.1	Purpose.....	40
8.5.2	Outcomes.....	40
8.5.3	Activities.....	40
8.5.4	Roles	42
8.6	Trusted data sharing management	42
8.6.1	Purpose.....	42
8.6.2	Outcomes.....	42
8.6.3	Activities.....	43
8.6.4	Roles	46
8.7	Data sharing contract management	47
8.7.1	Purpose.....	47
8.7.2	Outcomes.....	47
8.7.3	Activities.....	47
8.7.4	Roles	48
9	Data product quality management processes.....	49
9.1	Data product quality planning	49
9.1.1	Purpose.....	49
9.1.2	Outcomes.....	49
9.1.3	Activities.....	49
9.1.4	Roles	51
9.2	Data product quality control and monitoring.....	51
9.2.1	Purpose.....	51
9.2.2	Outcomes.....	51
9.2.3	Activities.....	52

9.2.4	Roles	53
9.3	Data product quality assurance.....	54
9.3.1	Purpose.....	54
9.3.2	Outcomes	54
9.3.3	Activities	54
9.3.4	Roles	56
9.4	Data product quality improvement.....	56
9.4.1	Purpose.....	56
9.4.2	Outcomes	56
9.4.3	Activities	57
9.4.4	Roles	58
10	Supporting processes.....	58
10.1	Change management.....	58
10.1.1	Purpose.....	58
10.1.2	Outcomes	58
10.1.3	Activities	59
10.1.4	Roles	60
11	Process Assessment Model.....	60
11.1	Overview	60
11.2	Process assessment according to ISO/IEC 33000 series.....	61
11.2.1	Overview of the Process Assessment Model.....	61
11.2.2	Capability Levels.....	61
11.2.3	Measurement (Scoring) of Process Attributes.....	64
11.2.4	Evidence of Process Implementation.....	66
11.3	Organisational Maturity Model.....	67
11.3.1	Set of Processes for Maturity Level 1 — Basic.....	68
11.3.2	Set of Processes for Maturity Level 2 — Managed	68
11.3.3	Set of Processes for Maturity Level 3 — Established	68
11.3.4	Set of Processes for Maturity Level 4 — Predictable	69
11.3.5	Set of Processes for Maturity Level 5 — Innovating.....	69
Annex A	(informative) Example of application	70
A.1	Scope and purpose of the example	70
A.2	Roles and responsibilities	71
A.2.1	Regional Tourism Office (data space governance authority)	71
A.2.2	TuriTicket S.L. (Data provider).....	71
A.2.3	PerfectHikingTrails App (Data User).....	71
A.2.4	TechSolutions Integrator (Data space technological provider).....	71
A.2.5	National Museums Networks (Data rights holder).....	71
A.3	Strategic execution of processes	71
A.3.1	Operational Interaction Summary.....	71
A.4	Assessment of the internal quality (maturity level) of each data space participant.....	72
A.4.1	Summary of the internal quality (maturity levels) of each participant.....	72
A.4.2	Detailed Assessment of the internal quality for the Regional Tourism Office (Data space governance authority).....	72
A.4.3	Detailed Assessment of the internal quality for TuriTicket S.L. (Data provider).....	75
A.4.4	Detailed Assessment of the internal quality for PerfectHikingTrails App (Data User).....	76
A.4.5	TechSolutions Integrator (Data space technological provider).....	78
A.4.6	National Museums Networks (Data rights holder).....	80
Annex B	(informative) Processes and data space participants.....	82
B.1	Processes and data spaces participants.....	82
Bibliography		84

Figures

Figure 1 — Overall vision of the process reference model.....	16
Figure 2 — Overall vision of the process assessment model	61
Figure 3 — Maturity Model for internal governance, management, and data product quality management for Data Spaces.....	67
Figure A.1 — Maturity Model for internal governance, management, and data product quality management for Data Spaces.....	70

Tables

Table 1 — Capability levels and Process Attributes	61
Table 2 — Process attributes and capability levels	65
Table A.1 — Data space participants in the fictional example with their scope of the assessment.....	72
Table A.2 — Example of evidence on the degree of achievement of the process “Data product metadata requirements management” found during the inspection of the Regional Tourism Office in its role of Data space governance authority.....	73
Table A.3 — Results of the scoring of the processes for the organisation playing the role of Data space governance authority. Note: Every process will have a score of {N, P, L, F} for every Process Attribute.	73
Table A.4 — Results of the scoring of the quality of the internal data governance for the organisation playing the role of Data Space Governance authority.....	74
Table A.5 — Results of the scoring of the processes for the organisation playing the role of Data provider. Note: Every process attribute will receive a score of {N, P, L, F} based on the strength of the captured evidence.....	75
Table A.6 — Results of the scoring of the quality of the internal data governance for the organisation playing the role of Data provider.....	76
Table A.7 — Results of the scoring of the processes for the organisation playing the role of Data consumer. Note: Every process attribute will receive a score of {N, P, L, F} based on the strength of the captured evidence.....	77
Table A.8 — Results of the scoring of the quality of the internal data governance for the organisation playing the role of Data User.....	78
Table A.9 — Results of the scoring of the processes for the organisation playing the role of Data space governance authority. Note: Every process attribute will receive a score of {N, P, L, F} based on the strength of the captured evidence.....	78
Table A.10 — Results of the scoring of the quality of the internal data governance for the organisation playing the role of Data space technological provider	79

Table A.11 — Results of the scoring of the processes for the organisation playing the role of Data Rights holder. Note: Every process attribute will receive a score of {N, P, L, F} based on the strength of the captured evidence.....	80
---	-----------

Table A.12 — Results of the scoring of the quality of the internal data governance for the organisation playing the role of Data rights holder	81
---	-----------