

DIN 6868-161:2022-01 (E)

Image quality assurance in diagnostic X-ray departments - Part 161: Acceptance testing of dental radiographic equipment for digital cone-beam computed tomography

| Contents | Page |
|--|-------------|
| Foreword | 4 |
| 1 Scope | 6 |
| 2 Normative references | 6 |
| 3 Terms and definitions..... | 6 |
| 4 Performance of the acceptance test | 8 |
| 4.1 General | 8 |
| 4.2 Test phantom..... | 8 |
| 4.3 Test items..... | 10 |
| 4.3.1 Visual inspection and functional tests..... | 10 |
| 4.3.2 Alignment of the useful radiation field and the active detector area..... | 11 |
| 4.3.3 Reproducibility of the dose | 11 |
| 4.3.4 Spatial resolution of the reconstruction | 11 |
| 4.3.5 Spatial resolution | 12 |
| 4.3.6 Acceptance index..... | 13 |
| 4.3.7 Homogeneity index..... | 14 |
| 4.3.8 Artefacts | 15 |
| Annex A (normative) Simplified determination of the modulation transfer behaviour | 16 |
| Annex B (normative) Calculation of the contrast-to-noise index..... | 18 |
| Annex C (informative) Possible artefacts in dental digital cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT) | 20 |
| C.1 General | 20 |
| C.2 Beam-hardening artefacts..... | 20 |
| C.3 Extinction artefacts..... | 21 |
| C.4 Partial volume effect and exponential edge-gradient effect (EEGE)..... | 22 |
| C.5 Aliasing artefacts | 22 |
| C.6 Ring artefacts..... | 23 |
| C.7 Artefacts caused by geometrical errors (e.g. motion artefacts)..... | 24 |
| Annex D (informative) Dose measurement in dental digital cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT) — Differences from computed tomography | 25 |
| D.1 Background | 25 |
| D.2 Prerequisites for the measurement of dose in dental CBCT | 25 |
| D.2.1 Imaged volume..... | 25 |
| D.2.2 Scan geometry | 25 |
| D.2.3 Measurement devices | 25 |
| D.3 Summary | 26 |
| Annex E (informative) Illustrations..... | 27 |
| E.1 Modulation transfer behaviour | 27 |
| E.2 Scan geometry | 28 |
| E.3 Homogeneity..... | 29 |
| Bibliography | 30 |
| Index of defined terms | 32 |

Figures

| | |
|---|----|
| Figure 1 — Set-up and alignment of the test phantom in the beam path..... | 8 |
| Figure 2 — Homogeneous elements of the test phantom | 9 |
| Figure 3 — Structure elements of the test phantom; top view and cross-sectional view | 10 |
| Figure C.1 — Typical striped beam-hardening artefacts in the direction of the beam path, caused by metal implants (titanium) in dental CBCT | 21 |
| Figure C.2 — Extinction artefacts in the direction of the beam path due to very dense gold restorations in the teeth..... | 21 |
| Figure C.3 — Intensity errors..... | 22 |
| Figure C.4 — Subtle line patterns (Moiré patterns)..... | 23 |
| Figure C.5 — Ring artefacts..... | 23 |
| Figure C.6 — Typical motion artefacts that manifest themselves as double contours..... | 24 |
| Figure E.1 — Example of the positioning and borders of the region of interest (ROI) used to determine the modulation transfer behaviour..... | 27 |
| Figure E.2 — Example of the graphical presentation of the modulation transfer behaviour | 27 |
| Figure E.3 — Horizontal slice through a scan geometry (Example 1)..... | 28 |
| Figure E.4 — Horizontal slice through a scan geometry (Example 2)..... | 29 |
| Figure E.5 — Example for the position and borders of the fields for the determination of homogeneity..... | 29 |