IEC 63339:2024-10 (E/F)

Unified reference model for smart manufacturing

Modèle de référence unifié pour la fabrication intelligente

Contents

Page

FOREWORD 6
INTRODUCTION 8
1 Scope 12
2 Normative references 12
3 Terms, definitions, abbreviated terms, acronyms and conventions 12
3.1 Terms and definitions 12
3.2 Abbreviated terms and acronyms 17
3.3 Conventions 18
4 Conformance 18
4.1 Intended usage 18
4.2 Full conformance 18
4.3 Partial conformance 18
4.3.1 Conformance to purpose and context requirements 18
4.3.2 Conformance to dimensions and coherence 18
4.3.3 Conformance to semantic modelling of aspects 19
4.3.4 Conformance to facets and frameworks 19
5 The URMSM in smart manufacturing concepts 19
6 Reference model concepts 20
6.1 SM modelling 20
6.2 Modelling purpose 21
6.3 Modelling dimensions 22
6.4 Dimension coherence 23
6.5 Stakeholders 24
6.6 Concerns 24
6.7 Use case 24
6.8 Viewpoints and views 25
6.9 Perspectives 25
6.9.1 Use of perspective 25
6.9.2 Stakeholder perspectives 26
6.9.3 Analytical framework 26
6.10 Aspects 26
6.11 Life cycle modelling 27
6.12 SM semantic modelling 28
6.12.1 Semantic modelling overview 28
6.12.2 Forms of semantic representation 30
6.12.3 Granularity of semantic models 31
7 Dimensions of URMSM 31
7.1 Identifying aspect interactions of smart manufacturing 31
7.2 Specifying modelling dimensions 32
7.2.1 Collections of aspects as dimensions of smart manufacturing 32

7.2.2 Collections of dimensions as an analytical framework for smart manufacturing 33
7.2.3 Collections of life cycle phases as dimensions of smart manufacturing 34
7.3 Using a semantic model for analytical reasoning in smart manufacturing 34
7.4 Selecting facet dimensions based upon concerns 35
7.5 Identify meaningful dimension interactions 37
7.6 Frameworks as visualizations of interactions 38
8 Using the URMSM 41
8.1 Utility of a reference model for smart manufacturing 41
8.2 Industry specific application of the URMSM 41
8.3 Specializing URMSM 42
8.3.1 Specific utilization of the URMSM and rules for derivatives of SMRMs 42
8.3.2 Manipulating reference models to match purpose 43
9 Use cases of the URMSM 44
9.1 Analysis use case 44
9.1.1 Examining interactions at intersections of aspects 44
9.1.2 Examining interactions near intersections of aspects 45
9.1.3 Inserting new capabilities to analyse 45
9.2 Synthesis use case 45
9.2.1 Identifying necessary coverage of aspect interactions 45
9.2.2 Sequencing activities based upon dependencies 46
9.3 Simulation in SMRM 46
9.4 Implementation use case 47
10 Family of reference models based upon the URMSM 48
10.1 A base of essential SM modelling dimensions 48
10.2 Re-arranging framework dimensions 50
10.3 Complementary frameworks for same situation 50
10.4 Using multiple SMRMs to achieve purpose 51
Annex A (informative) Concept areas of SM 52
A.1 Aspects of production and products 52
A.2 Perspectives of production and products stakeholders 52
A.3 Lifecycle considerations 53
A.4 Modelling of products and production using semantic models 53
A.5 Semantic models 55
Annex B (informative) Formal Foundation for URMSM 57
B.1 Modelling framework for URMSM 57
B.1.1 Formalism caveat 57
B.1.2 Modelling framework formalism 57
B.1.3 Using a URMSM framework 60
B.1.4 Semantic models of dimensions composed of aspects 62
Annex C (informative) Positioning URMSM among reference models 65
C.1 Positioning URMSM among reference models 65
Annex D (informative) Meta-model for reference model analysis 66
D.1 Meta-model analysis 66
D.2 Using a meta-model 66
D.2.1 General 66
D.2.2 Assumptions, constraints and guidance 66
D.2.3 Concepts 67
Annex E (informative) Principles of semantic modelling 73
E.1 Top level conceptual model 73
E.2 Semiotic conceptual model 75
E.3 Top level model domains 78
Annex F (informative) Practitioner's modelling activity in a systematic usage of URMSM 80

F.1 Use case derivation of concerns, aspects, and perspectives 80
F.2 Relationship between aspects on a dimension and model contents 82
F.2.1 General 82
F.2.2 Implication of the dimension of lifecycle 83
F.2.3 Implication of the dimension of smart technology 83
F.2.4 Implication of the dimension of enterprise hierarchy 84
F.2.5 Implication of the dimension of capability level 84
F.3 Concept of step-by-step approach 84
F.3.1 Formalism caveat 84
F.3.2 Practical procedure 85
Annex G (informative) Use case: Value stream 87
G.1 Overview 87
G.2 Conveyor system project 87
G.3 SM use case 88
G.4 Dimensions 90
G.4.1 Information in context 90
G.4.2 Dimension coherence using semantic models 90
G.4.3 Interactions between dimensions 90
G.4.4 Dimension S – The supply chain 91
G.4.5 Dimension C – Product/production system design 91
G.4.6 Dimension D – Production system 91
G.4.7 Dimension H – Operations management (shown within the production systems dimension D) 91
G.4.8 Dimension I – Control/process level 1 (shown within Dimension D) 92
G.5 Project flow and interaction 93
G.5.1 Product concept (Ctx) quotation 93
G.5.2 Product order process 94
G.5.3 New product concept(Ctx) quotation 95
G.5.4 Interaction matrix 95
Bibliography 96

Figure 1 – Using URMSM 9
Figure 2 – SM standard developer perspective of facet reuse 36
Figure 3 – Projection steps for standards developers 37
Figure 4 – A one dimensional framework representation 39
Figure 5 – A two dimensional framework representation 39
Figure 6 – Nested processes (centre oval) and user members (right) 40
Figure 7 – URMSM abstraction stack 42
Figure 8 – Example of a model for use case #1 47
Figure 9 – Diversified smart manufacturing systems expected from different stakeholders 48
Figure 10 – Basic structure for a family of SMRM with alternative 3D representations 51
Figure A.1 – SM contextual relationships 54
Figure A.2 – Semiotic Triangle with 3 semiotic domains and 3 morphisms (relations between pairs of semiotic domain artefacts) 55
Figure A.3 – Product standards catalogue concept model 56
Figure B.1 – Semantic model scenario dimensions 62

Figure B.2 – Semantic model scenario as relationship graph 63
Figure C.1 – Example of cascading reference models 65
Figure D.1 – Meta-model for SMRM 72
Figure E.1 – Top level conceptual model "The Semiotic Triangle" 73
Figure E.2 – Knowledge Ontology (K-Pyramid) explained by the three coloured corners of the Semiotic Triangle (Concept || Symbol || Phenomenon) 74
Figure E.3 – I4.0 Methodology / Theory of Data: Flattening the knowledge/data pyramid 75
Figure E.4 – Cyclic semiotic relationships (morphisms) 76
Figure E.5 – A first high level consolidation of interactions (I) – including IIoT Control Structure 76
Figure E.6 – Composition of SM knowledge from different SM domains 77
Figure E.7 – Top level concept model for model domain 78
Figure E.8 – Natural aspect concept model – dynamics 79
Figure F.1 – Utilization of the URMSM through a modelling activity of SM practitioners 80
Figure F.2 – Projection steps for SM practitioners 81
Figure F.3 – Relationship between aspects on a dimension and model contents 83
Figure F.4 – Potential problem of the modelling activity by SM practitioners 84
Figure F.5 – Step-by-step approach 86
Figure G.1 – Product model interactions 89
Figure G.2 – Production System Dimension D 92

Table B.1 – Semantic model scenario 62
Table B.2 – Semantic model scenario as N-squared diagram 63
Table B.3 – Semantic model scenario Direct Intersections 64
Table B.4 – Semantic model scenario Indirect Interactions 64
Table G.1 – Interaction matrix 95