

IEC 63339:2024-10 (E/F)

Unified reference model for smart manufacturing

Modèle de référence unifié pour la fabrication intelligente

Contents	Page
FOREWORD.....	6
INTRODUCTION.....	8
1 Scope.....	12
2 Normative references	12
3 Terms, definitions, abbreviated terms, acronyms and conventions	12
3.1 Terms and definitions.....	12
3.2 Abbreviated terms and acronyms	17
3.3 Conventions.....	18
4 Conformance.....	18
4.1 Intended usage	18
4.2 Full conformance	18
4.3 Partial conformance	18
4.3.1 Conformance to purpose and context requirements	18
4.3.2 Conformance to dimensions and coherence.....	18
4.3.3 Conformance to semantic modelling of aspects	19
4.3.4 Conformance to facets and frameworks	19
5 The URMSM in smart manufacturing concepts.....	19
6 Reference model concepts	20
6.1 SM modelling.....	20
6.2 Modelling purpose.....	21
6.3 Modelling dimensions.....	22
6.4 Dimension coherence	23
6.5 Stakeholders.....	24
6.6 Concerns	24
6.7 Use case.....	24
6.8 Viewpoints and views.....	25
6.9 Perspectives	25
6.9.1 Use of perspective.....	25
6.9.2 Stakeholder perspectives.....	26
6.9.3 Analytical framework.....	26
6.10 Aspects.....	26
6.11 Life cycle modelling	27
6.12 SM semantic modelling	28
6.12.1 Semantic modelling overview.....	28
6.12.2 Forms of semantic representation.....	30
6.12.3 Granularity of semantic models.....	31
7 Dimensions of URMSM.....	31
7.1 Identifying aspect interactions of smart manufacturing	31
7.2 Specifying modelling dimensions	32
7.2.1 Collections of aspects as dimensions of smart manufacturing.....	32

7.2.2	Collections of dimensions as an analytical framework for smart manufacturing.....	33
7.2.3	Collections of life cycle phases as dimensions of smart manufacturing	34
7.3	Using a semantic model for analytical reasoning in smart manufacturing	34
7.4	Selecting facet dimensions based upon concerns	35
7.5	Identify meaningful dimension interactions	37
7.6	Frameworks as visualizations of interactions.....	38
8	Using the URMSM	41
8.1	Utility of a reference model for smart manufacturing	41
8.2	Industry specific application of the URMSM	41
8.3	Specializing URMSM	42
8.3.1	Specific utilization of the URMSM and rules for derivatives of SMRMs	42
8.3.2	Manipulating reference models to match purpose	43
9	Use cases of the URMSM	44
9.1	Analysis use case	44
9.1.1	Examining interactions at intersections of aspects	44
9.1.2	Examining interactions near intersections of aspects	45
9.1.3	Inserting new capabilities to analyse.....	45
9.2	Synthesis use case	45
9.2.1	Identifying necessary coverage of aspect interactions	45
9.2.2	Sequencing activities based upon dependencies	46
9.3	Simulation in SMRM.....	46
9.4	Implementation use case	47
10	Family of reference models based upon the URMSM	48
10.1	A base of essential SM modelling dimensions	48
10.2	Re-arranging framework dimensions	50
10.3	Complementary frameworks for same situation	50
10.4	Using multiple SMRMs to achieve purpose.....	51
Annex A (informative)	Concept areas of SM.....	52
A.1	Aspects of production and products	52
A.2	Perspectives of production and products stakeholders	52
A.3	Lifecycle considerations	53
A.4	Modelling of products and production using semantic models	53
A.5	Semantic models	55
Annex B (informative)	Formal Foundation for URMSM	57
B.1	Modelling framework for URMSM	57
B.1.1	Formalism caveat	57
B.1.2	Modelling framework formalism.....	57
B.1.3	Using a URMSM framework	60
B.1.4	Semantic models of dimensions composed of aspects	62
Annex C (informative)	Positioning URMSM among reference models	65
C.1	Positioning URMSM among reference models	65
Annex D (informative)	Meta-model for reference model analysis	66
D.1	Meta-model analysis	66
D.2	Using a meta-model	66
D.2.1	General	66
D.2.2	Assumptions, constraints and guidance	66
D.2.3	Concepts	67
Annex E (informative)	Principles of semantic modelling	73
E.1	Top level conceptual model.....	73
E.2	Semiotic conceptual model	75
E.3	Top level model domains	78
Annex F (informative)	Practitioner’s modelling activity in a systematic usage of URMSM	80

F.1	Use case derivation of concerns, aspects, and perspectives	80
F.2	Relationship between aspects on a dimension and model contents	82
F.2.1	General	82
F.2.2	Implication of the dimension of lifecycle	83
F.2.3	Implication of the dimension of smart technology	83
F.2.4	Implication of the dimension of enterprise hierarchy	84
F.2.5	Implication of the dimension of capability level	84
F.3	Concept of step-by-step approach.....	84
F.3.1	Formalism caveat	84
F.3.2	Practical procedure.....	85
Annex G (informative)	Use case: Value stream	87
G.1	Overview.....	87
G.2	Conveyor system project.....	87
G.3	SM use case	88
G.4	Dimensions	90
G.4.1	Information in context	90
G.4.2	Dimension coherence using semantic models	90
G.4.3	Interactions between dimensions	90
G.4.4	Dimension S – The supply chain	91
G.4.5	Dimension C – Product/production system design.....	91
G.4.6	Dimension D – Production system	91
G.4.7	Dimension H – Operations management (shown within the production systems dimension D).....	91
G.4.8	Dimension I – Control/process level 1 (shown within Dimension D).....	92
G.5	Project flow and interaction	93
G.5.1	Product concept (Ctx) quotation.....	93
G.5.2	Product order process	94
G.5.3	New product concept(Ctx) quotation	95
G.5.4	Interaction matrix.....	95
Bibliography	96
Figure 1	– Using URMSM	9
Figure 2	– SM standard developer perspective of facet reuse	36
Figure 3	– Projection steps for standards developers	37
Figure 4	– A one dimensional framework representation	39
Figure 5	– A two dimensional framework representation	39
Figure 6	– Nested processes (centre oval) and user members (right).....	40
Figure 7	– URMSM abstraction stack	42
Figure 8	– Example of a model for use case #1.....	47
Figure 9	– Diversified smart manufacturing systems expected from different stakeholders	48
Figure 10	– Basic structure for a family of SMRM with alternative 3D representations.....	51
Figure A.1	– SM contextual relationships	54
Figure A.2	– Semiotic Triangle with 3 semiotic domains and 3 morphisms (relations between pairs of semiotic domain artefacts)	55
Figure A.3	– Product standards catalogue concept model	56
Figure B.1	– Semantic model scenario dimensions	62

Figure B.2 – Semantic model scenario as relationship graph	63
Figure C.1 – Example of cascading reference models	65
Figure D.1 – Meta-model for SMRM	72
Figure E.1 – Top level conceptual model "The Semiotic Triangle"	73
Figure E.2 – Knowledge Ontology (K-Pyramid) explained by the three coloured corners of the Semiotic Triangle (Concept Symbol Phenomenon)	74
Figure E.3 – 14.0 Methodology / Theory of Data: Flattening the knowledge/data pyramid.....	75
Figure E.4 – Cyclic semiotic relationships (morphisms).....	76
Figure E.5 – A first high level consolidation of interactions (I) – including IIoT Control Structure.....	76
Figure E.6 – Composition of SM knowledge from different SM domains	77
Figure E.7 – Top level concept model for model domain	78
Figure E.8 – Natural aspect concept model – dynamics	79
Figure F.1 – Utilization of the URMSM through a modelling activity of SM practitioners.....	80
Figure F.2 – Projection steps for SM practitioners.....	81
Figure F.3 – Relationship between aspects on a dimension and model contents	83
Figure F.4 – Potential problem of the modelling activity by SM practitioners	84
Figure F.5 – Step-by-step approach.....	86
Figure G.1 – Product model interactions	89
Figure G.2 – Production System Dimension D.....	92
Table B.1 – Semantic model scenario	62
Table B.2 – Semantic model scenario as N-squared diagram.....	63
Table B.3 – Semantic model scenario Direct Intersections	64
Table B.4 – Semantic model scenario Indirect Interactions	64
Table G.1 – Interaction matrix.....	95