

ISO 20784:2021 (E)

Sensory analysis — Guidance on substantiation for sensory and consumer product claims

Contents

	Foreword
	Introduction
1	Scope
2	Normative references
3	Terms and definitions
4	General considerations
5	Guiding principles for sensory claims substantiation
5.1	General
5.2	Reviewing governmental legislation and regulations
5.3	Defining the primary claim(s) and designing the test to address them
5.4	Determining the type of claim: single product or comparative testing
5.5	Defining the decision criteria
5.6	Defining the set of relevant products
5.7	Defining the population of relevant consumers or assessors
5.8	Defining the strength of evidence
5.9	Ensuring impartiality
5.10	Ensuring reliability
6	Classification of sensory claims
6.1	Classification
6.2	Wording
6.3	Non-comparative sensory claims
6.4	Comparative sensory claims
7	Methods
Annex A	(informative) Sensory claims case studies
A.1	Non-comparative — Affective: “Tastes great”
A.2	Non-comparative — Performance: “Leaves no residue”
A.3	Non-comparative — Performance: “Easy to prepare”
A.4	Comparative — Affective: “30 % reduced salt, same great taste”
A.5	Comparative — Unsurpassed — Performance: “Cleans as well as the leading brand”
A.6	Comparative — Superiority — Affective: “The ketchup taste consumers prefer on their burger”
A.7	Comparative — Superiority — Performance: “Less clumping than other comparably-priced brands”
A.8	Non-comparative — Attribute: “Now less bitter” or “now with more roasted flavour”
Annex B	(informative) Type I error rates as a function of the number of statistical tests in a study