ISO 20784:2021 (E)

Sensory analysis — Guidance on substantiation for sensory and consumer product claims

Contents

Foreword

Introduction

1 Scope

2 Normative references

3 Terms and definitions

4 General considerations

5 Guiding principles for sensory claims substantiation

- 5.1 General
- 5.2 Reviewing governmental legislation and regulations
- 5.3 Defining the primary claim(s) and designing the test to address them
- 5.4 Determining the type of claim: single product or comparative testing
- 5.5 Defining the decision criteria
- 5.6 Defining the set of relevant products
- 5.7 Defining the population of relevant consumers or assessors
- 5.8 Defining the strength of evidence
- 5.9 Ensuring impartiality
- 5.10 Ensuring reliability

6 Classification of sensory claims

- 6.1 Classification
- 6.2 Wording
- 6.3 Non-comparative sensory claims
- 6.4 Comparative sensory claims

7 Methods

Annex A (informative) Sensory claims case studies

- A.1 Non-comparative — Affective: “Tastes great”
- A.2 Non-comparative — Performance: “Leaves no residue”
- A.3 Non-comparative — Performance: “Easy to prepare”
- A.4 Comparative — Affective: “30 % reduced salt, same great taste”
- A.5 Comparative — Unsurpassed — Performance: “Cleans as well as the leading brand”
- A.6 Comparative — Superiority — Affective: “The ketchup taste consumers prefer on their burger”
- A.7 Comparative — Superiority — Performance: “Less clumping than other comparably-priced brands”
- A.8 Non-comparative — Attribute: “Now less bitter” or “now with more roasted flavour”

Annex B (informative) Type I error rates as a function of the number of statistical tests in a study

Page count: 18