

ISO/TR 21958:2019 (E)

Ophthalmic optics — Review of the test methods used to assess scratch and abrasion resistance of spectacle lenses

Contents

	Foreword
1	Scope
2	Normative references
3	Terms and definitions
4	Background
5	Mechanisms of mechanical abrasion and scratching of lens surfaces
5.1	Discussion and scratch mechanisms
5.2	Testing and the different forms of damage
5.3	Assessing surface damage
6	Test method description
6.1	Steel wool test
6.1.1	Principle
6.1.2	Description
6.1.2.1	Surface damage procedure summary
6.1.2.2	Method of assessment of surface damage
6.1.3	Pros and cons
6.1.3.1	Pros
6.1.3.2	Cons
6.2	Tumble test
6.2.1	Principle
6.2.2	Description
6.2.2.1	Surface damage procedure summary
6.2.2.2	Method of assessment of surface damage
6.2.3	Pros and cons
6.2.3.1	Pros
6.2.3.2	Cons
6.3	Eraser test
6.3.1	Principle
6.3.2	Description
6.3.2.1	Surface damage procedure summary
6.3.2.2	Method of assessment of surface damage
6.3.3	Pros and cons
6.3.3.1	Pros
6.3.3.2	Cons
6.4	Bayer test (Oscillating abrasive grain test)
6.4.1	Principle
6.4.2	Description
6.4.2.1	Surface damage procedure summary
6.4.2.2	Method of assessment of surface damage
6.4.3	Pros and cons
6.4.3.1	Pros
6.4.3.2	Cons
6.5	Nano scratch (Diamond point loading device)
6.5.1	Principle
6.5.2	Description
6.5.2.1	Surface damage procedure summary
6.5.2.2	Method of assessment of surface damage

- 6.5.3 Pros and cons
- 6.5.3.1 Pros
- 6.5.3.2 Cons
- 6.6 Taber abrasion wheel test
- 6.6.1 Principle
- 6.6.2 Description
- 6.6.2.1 Surface damage procedure summary
- 6.6.2.2 Assessment
- 6.6.3 Pros and cons
- 6.6.3.1 Pros
- 6.6.3.2 Cons
- 6.7 ISO 8980-5 “Minimum requirements for spectacle lens surfaces claimed to be abrasion-resistant”
- 6.7.1 Principle
- 6.7.2 Description
- 6.7.2.1 Surface damage procedure summary
- 6.7.2.2 Method of assessment of surface damage
- 6.7.3 Pros and cons
- 6.7.3.1 Pros
- 6.7.3.2 Cons

7 Conclusion

Page count: 19