

# DIN EN 1005-5:2007-05 (E)

## Safety of machinery - Human physical performance - Part 5: Risk assessment for repetitive handling at high frequency

---

<b>Contents</b>	<b>Page</b>
Foreword .....	4
Introduction .....	5
1 Scope .....	6
2 Normative references .....	6
3 Terms and definitions .....	6
4 Abbreviations .....	7
5 Requirements .....	8
5.1 The application of standards relevant to this document .....	8
5.2 General aspects .....	8
5.3 Risk assessment .....	9
5.3.1 General .....	9
5.3.2 Hazard identification .....	11
5.3.3 Risk estimation and simple evaluation of machinery related repetitive handling at high frequency (Method 1) .....	12
5.3.4 Detailed risk evaluation of machinery related repetitive handling at high frequency: risk reduction and risk reduction option analysis (Method 2) .....	13
6 Verification .....	17
7 Information for use .....	18
Annex A (informative) Identification of technical action .....	19
A.1 General .....	19
A.2 Examples for identifying and counting technical actions .....	22
A.2.1 Example 1: Pick and place (Tables A.2 and A.3) .....	22
A.2.2 Example 2: Pick and place with transfer from one hand to the other and with visual inspection (Table A.4) .....	22
A.2.3 Example 3: Pick and place while transporting a load (Table A.5) .....	23
A.2.4 Example 4: Cyclical use of a tool with repeated and identical actions (Table A.6) .....	24
A.2.5 Example 5: Technical actions not carried out in every cycle (Table A.7) .....	24
Annex B (informative) Posture and types of movements .....	26
Annex C (informative) Force .....	31
C.1 General .....	31
C.1.1 Introduction .....	31
C.1.2 Procedure 1 - A biomechanical approach based on user group strength distributions .....	31
C.2 Procedure 2 - A psychophysical approach using the CR-10 Borg scale .....	33
Annex D (informative) Association between the OCRA index and the occurrence of Upper Limbs Work-related Musculo-Skeletal Disorders (UL-WMSDs): criteria for the classification of results and forecast models .....	34

D.1	General .....	34
D.2	OCRA Index values, exposure areas and consequent actions .....	37
Annex E (informative)	Influence of recovery periods pattern and work time duration in determining the overall number of reference technical actions within a shift (RTA) and, consequently, the OCRA index .....	39
Annex F (informative)	An application example of risk reduction in a mono-task analysis .....	41
F.1	Foreword .....	41
F.2	General: technical characteristics of the task .....	41
F.3	Hazard identification .....	43
F.4	Method 1 .....	43
F.5	Method 2 .....	43
F.5.1	Description of awkward postures and movements and evaluation of the corresponding Posture multiplier (PoM) .....	43
F.5.2	Repetitiveness multiplier (ReM) .....	46
F.5.3	Evaluation of average force level and the corresponding Force Multiplier (FoM) .....	46
F.5.4	Determination of the Recovery period multiplier (RcM) and the Duration multiplier (DuM) .....	47
F.5.5	Computation of reference technical actions per minute (RF) .....	48
F.5.6	Computation of the OCRA index .....	48
F.5.7	OCRA index calculation for mono task analysis when the repetitive task duration should be assessed .....	48
F.5.8	Solutions to reduce the risk level .....	50
Annex G (informative)	Definition and quantification of additional risk factors .....	58
Annex H (informative)	Risk assessment by Method 2 when designing "multitask" jobs .....	60
H.1	OCRA index calculation when two or more repetitive tasks should be assessed .....	60
H.2	An application example: assessing repetitive tasks at a machine .....	61
H.2.1	Description of characteristics of two tasks .....	61
H.2.2	Definition of the corresponding multipliers .....	62
H.2.3	Mono- task analysis separately for task A and B: computation of the overall number Actual Technical Actions (ATA) in task A (Table H.3) and task B (Table H.4) .....	62
H.2.4	Mono- task analysis: computation of the overall number of reference technical actions within a shift (RTA) in task A (Table H.5) and task B (Table H.6) .....	65
H.2.5	Mono- task analysis: computation of the OCRA index in task A (Table H.5) and task B (Table H.6) .....	65
H.3	Multi-tasks analysis .....	67
H.3.1	Computation of the overall number of Actual Technical Actions (ATA) in task A and task B (Table H.7) .....	67
H.3.2	Computation of the overall number of reference technical actions (RTA) in task A and task B (Table H.7) .....	68
H.3.3	Computation of the overall number of reference technical actions within a shift in task A and task B (Table H.7) .....	69
H.4	Conclusion .....	70
Bibliography .....		71