

DIN EN 1005-5:2007-05 (E)

Safety of machinery - Human physical performance - Part 5: Risk assessment for repetitive handling at high frequency

Contents		Page
Foreword		4
Introduction		5
1	Scope	6
2	Normative references	6
3	Terms and definitions	6
4	Abbreviations	7
5	Requirements	8
5.1	The application of standards relevant to this document	8
5.2	General aspects	8
5.3	Risk assessment	9
5.3.1	General	9
5.3.2	Hazard identification	11
5.3.3	Risk estimation and simple evaluation of machinery related repetitive handling at high frequency (Method 1)	12
5.3.4	Detailed risk evaluation of machinery related repetitive handling at high frequency: risk reduction and risk reduction option analysis (Method 2)	13
6	Verification	17
7	Information for use	18
Annex A (informative) Identification of technical action		19
A.1	General	19
A.2	Examples for identifying and counting technical actions	22
A.2.1	Example 1: Pick and place (Tables A.2 and A.3)	22
A.2.2	Example 2: Pick and place with transfer from one hand to the other and with visual inspection (Table A.4)	22
A.2.3	Example 3: Pick and place while transporting a load (Table A.5)	23
A.2.4	Example 4: Cyclical use of a tool with repeated and identical actions (Table A.6)	24
A.2.5	Example 5: Technical actions not carried out in every cycle (Table A.7)	24
Annex B (informative) Posture and types of movements		26
Annex C (informative) Force		31
C.1	General	31
C.1.1	Introduction	31
C.1.2	Procedure 1 - A biomechanical approach based on user group strength distributions	31
C.2	Procedure 2 - A psychophysical approach using the CR-10 Borg scale	33
Annex D (informative) Association between the OCRA index and the occurrence of Upper Limbs Work-related Musculo-Skeletal Disorders (UL-WMSDs): criteria for the classification of results and forecast models		34

D.1	General	34
D.2	OCRA Index values, exposure areas and consequent actions	37
Annex E (informative)	Influence of recovery periods pattern and work time duration in determining the overall number of reference technical actions within a shift (RTA) and, consequently, the OCRA index	39
Annex F (informative)	An application example of risk reduction in a mono-task analysis	41
F.1	Foreword	41
F.2	General: technical characteristics of the task	41
F.3	Hazard identification	43
F.4	Method 1	43
F.5	Method 2	43
F.5.1	Description of awkward postures and movements and evaluation of the corresponding Posture multiplier (PoM)	43
F.5.2	Repetitiveness multiplier (ReM)	46
F.5.3	Evaluation of average force level and the corresponding Force Multiplier (FoM)	46
F.5.4	Determination of the Recovery period multiplier (RcM) and the Duration multiplier (DuM)	47
F.5.5	Computation of reference technical actions per minute (RF)	48
F.5.6	Computation of the OCRA index	48
F.5.7	OCRA index calculation for mono task analysis when the repetitive task duration should be assessed	48
F.5.8	Solutions to reduce the risk level	50
Annex G (informative)	Definition and quantification of additional risk factors	58
Annex H (informative)	Risk assessment by Method 2 when designing "multitask" jobs	60
H.1	OCRA index calculation when two or more repetitive tasks should be assessed	60
H.2	An application example: assessing repetitive tasks at a machine	61
H.2.1	Description of characteristics of two tasks	61
H.2.2	Definition of the corresponding multipliers	62
H.2.3	Mono- task analysis separately for task A and B: computation of the overall number Actual Technical Actions (ATA) in task A (Table H.3) and task B (Table H.4)	62
H.2.4	Mono- task analysis: computation of the overall number of reference technical actions within a shift (RTA) in task A (Table H.5) and task B (Table H.6)	65
H.2.5	Mono- task analysis: computation of the OCRA index in task A (Table H.5) and task B (Table H.6)	65
H.3	Multi-tasks analysis	67
H.3.1	Computation of the overall number of Actual Technical Actions (ATA) in task A and task B (Table H.7)	67
H.3.2	Computation of the overall number of reference technical actions (RTA) in task A and task B (Table H.7)	68
H.3.3	Computation of the overall number of reference technical actions within a shift in task A and task B (Table H.7)	69
H.4	Conclusion	70
Bibliography		71