ISO/TR 23476:2021 (E)

Ergonomics — Application of ISO 11226, the ISO 11228 series and ISO/TR 12295 in the agricultural sector

Contents

Foreword

Introduction

1 Scope

2 Normative references

3 Terms and definitions

4 General outline of work processes in an annual multi-task analysis in agriculture

- 4.1 General structure of a multi-task analysis
- 4.2 Study of tasks distribution over the year and search for groups of workers who are homogeneous in terms of risk exposure
- 4.2.1 General
- 4.2.2 Macrocycle duration
- 4.2.3 Phases and tasks identification
- 4.2.4 Identification of the different homogeneous groups

5 First levels: pre-mapping of danger and discomfort through key questions and quick assessment

- 5.1 Foreword
- 5.2 The pre-mapping model

6 Analytical study of work processes in annual multi-task analysis: description of a typical working day for each month and quantitative task distribution over the year

- 6.1 General
- 6.2 Phase A – Description of a typical working day
- 6.3 Phase B – Estimation of total number of hours worked every month of the year
- 6.4 Phase C – Assignment of tasks to a homogeneous group (or individual worker) and calculation of proportional tasks duration in each individual month

7 Annual multi-task risk assessment of biomechanical overload for the upper limbs

- 7.1 General
- 7.2 Phase A – Analysis of each individual task using the OCRA checklist to calculate the intrinsic risk score and prepare the tasks basic risk evaluation for each crop
- 7.3 Phase B – Application of mathematical models and preliminary preparation of “artificial working day” representative of the whole year and of every month of the same year

8 Annual multi-task risk assessment for working postures

- 8.1 The meaning of postural tolerance
- 8.2 Analysing the tolerability of working postures for the spine when performing manual lifting tasks, and for the upper limbs when performing repetitive movements and manual lifting: specific International Standards
- 8.3 Analysing spinal working postures without manual load lifting and lower limb postures (primarily static)
- 8.4 The TACOS method: contents and criteria for back and lower limb posture analysis
- 8.5 Posture analysis of a multi-task job performed on a full-time or part-time basis with yearly job rotation

9 Annual multi-task risk assessment of manual material handling (MMH) and carrying

10 Annual multi-task risk assessment of pushing and pulling

11 Manual material carrying (MMC) risk assessment

12 Conclusions

Annex A (informative) Initial identification and preliminary assessment (pre-mapping) of potential risks: criteria and presentation of a specific simple tool that allows its application

- **A.1 Aim**
- **A.2 General pre-mapping model for agriculture – A facilitated organizational analysis**
- **A.2.1 General**
- **A.2.2 Phase 1 – Identification of tasks involved in cultivation**
- **A.2.3 Phase 2 – Identification of a homogeneous group**
- **A.2.4 Phase 3 – Definition of duration multipliers for adjusting the different risk scores based on their specific duration**
- **A.3 Similarities and differences between "EPMIESagriERGOCHECKprecultivoEN" and the classic "ERGOCHECK" model**
- **A.3.1 Results of the first part regarding key-enters for biomechanical overload and key-questions regarding other risk factors**
- **A.3.2 Results concerning the "quick assessment" for biomechanical overload of the upper limbs**
- **A.3.3 Results concerning the "quick assessment" for manual load lifting**
- **A.3.4 Results concerning the "quick assessment" for manual carrying and pushing/pulling loads**
- **A.3.5 Results concerning the "quick assessment" for awkward postures**
- **A.3.6 Results concerning the "quick assessment" for chemical and biological pollutants**
- **A.3.7 Results concerning the "quick assessment" and "preliminary analysis" for work-related stress**
- **A.3.8 Summary of final results**
- **A.4 A comparison between the summary of final results for the various homogeneous groups – conclusions**

Annex B (informative) Criteria and mathematical models for analysing exposure to biomechanical overload in multitask jobs featuring complex macro-cycles (e.g. weekly, monthly, annual turnover)

- **B.1 Introduction**
- **B.2 Daily job rotation**
- **B.2.1 Daily rotation of jobs featuring repetitive tasks**
- **B.2.2 Daily manual lifting task rotations**
- **B.3 Rotations over periods longer than a day: the macro cycle (e.g. weekly, monthly, yearly)**
- **B.3.1 Main elements of this procedure**
- **B.3.2 Identification of the rotation period (macro-cycle) and the predefined macro cycle periods as week, month, year**
- **B.3.3 Identification of all the manual tasks typical of certain production present in a macro-cycle (job analysis) and identification of the homogeneous groups of workers**
- **B.3.4 Analysis of the duration and sequence of all the various manual tasks performed by each homogeneous group over the macro-cycle**
- **B.3.5 Analysis of biomechanical overload typical of each individual task – the intrinsic risk value of each task to prepare the "basic tasks list with all the intrinsic risk evaluation"**
- **B.3.6 Reconstruction of the artificial working day (or fictitious day) representative of macro-cycle (in term of total net duration of work and duration of each task) performed by each homogeneous group**
- **B.3.7 Recalculation of intrinsic risk indicators according to the real task duration**
- **B.3.8 Application of the final formulas, used for daily rotations, to calculate the final risk index both for checklist OCRA and for NIOSH lifting index LI (MLI, CLI; VLI) and other risk assessment methods**
- **B.3.8.1 General**
- **B.3.8.2 Application of the final formulas, used for daily rotations, to calculate the final risk index both for checklist OCRA**
- **B.3.8.3 Application of the final formulas, used for daily rotations, to calculate the final risk index for NIOSH lifting index LI (MLI, CLI; VLI)**
- **B.3.8.4 MultiGEI: multitask general exposure index**
- **B.4 Conclusions**
- **B.5 Examples of evaluating the final risk in exposure to biomechanical overload**
- **B.5.1 Introduction**

B.5.2 Risk assessment of repetitive movements using the OCRA checklist in annual multitask exposure
B.5.3 Evaluation of risk associated with manual load lifting in annual multitask
B.5.4 Examples of task rotations in weekly/monthly macro-cycles

Annex C (informative) Criteria to evaluate working postures of the spine and lower limbs using the TACOS strategy in daily or other macro-cycle multi-task analysis: brief presentation

C.1 Preface
C.2 Why a new approach is needed: TACOS method contents and criteria for back and lower limb posture analysis
C.3 Example: analysis of a single-task job performed on a full-time or part-time basis with a daily or a yearly job rotation
C.4 Timed score calculation methods in multi-task exposure scenarios
C.4.1 General
C.4.2 Phase 1 – Identifying of all the tasks present (i.e. in a cultivation) and calculating intrinsic scores for postures for each task
C.4.3 Phase 2 – Identification of a homogeneous group
C.4.4 Phase 3 – Calculation criteria and results of posture risk assessment
C.5 Conclusions

Page count: 133