ISO/TR 23476:2021 (E)

Ergonomics — Application of ISO 11226, the ISO 11228 series and ISO/TR 12295 in the agricultural sector

Contents

Foreword

Introduction

- 1 Scope
- 2 Normative references
- 3 Terms and definitions
- 4 General outline of work processes in an annual multi-task analysis in agriculture
 - 4.1 General structure of a multi-task analysis
 - 4.2 Study of tasks distribution over the year and search for groups of workers who are homogeneous in terms of risk exposure
 - 4.2.1 General
 - 4.2.2 Macrocycle duration
 - 4.2.3 Phases and tasks identification
 - 4.2.4 Identification of the different homogeneous groups
- 5 First levels: pre-mapping of danger and discomfort through key questions and quick assessment
 - 5.1 Foreword
 - 5.2 The pre-mapping model
- 6 Analytical study of work processes in annual multi-task analysis: description of a typical working day for each month and quantitative task distribution over the year
 - 6.1 General
 - 6.2 Phase A Description of a typical working day
 - 6.3 Phase B Estimation of total number of hours worked every month of the year
 - 6.4 Phase C Assignment of tasks to a homogeneous group (or individual worker) and calculation of proportional tasks duration in each individual month
- 7 Annual multi-task risk assessment of biomechanical overload for the upper limbs
 - 7.1 General
 - 7.2 Phase A Analysis of each individual task using the OCRA checklist to calculate the intrinsic risk score and prepare the tasks basic risk evaluation for each crop
 - 7.3 Phase B Application of mathematical models and preliminary preparation of "artificial working day" representative of the whole year and of every month of the same year
- 8 Annual multi-task risk assessment for working postures
 - 8.1 The meaning of postural tolerance
 - 8.2 Analysing the tolerability of working postures for the spine when performing manual lifting tasks, and for the upper limbs when performing repetitive movements and manual lifting: specific International Standards
 - 8.3 Analysing spinal working postures without manual load lifting and lower limb postures (primarily static)
 - 8.4 The TACOS method: contents and criteria for back and lower limb posture analysis
 - 8.5 Posture analysis of a multi-task job performed on a full-time or part-time basis with yearly job rotation
- 9 Annual multi-task risk assessment of manual material handling (MMH) and carrying
- 10 Annual multi-task risk assessment of pushing and pulling

- 11 Manual material carrying (MMC) risk assessment
- 12 Conclusions
- Annex A (informative) Initial identification and preliminary assessment (pre-mapping) of potential risks: criteria and presentation of a specific simple tool that allows its application
 - A.1 Aim
 - A.2 General pre-mapping model for agriculture A facilitated organizational analysis
 - A.2.1 General
 - A.2.2 Phase 1 Identification of tasks involved in cultivation
 - A.2.3 Phase 2 Identification of a homogeneous group
 - A.2.4 Phase 3 Definition of duration multipliers for adjusting the different risk scores based on their specific duration
 - A.3 Similarities and differences between "EPMIESagriERGOCHECKprecultivoEN" and the classic "ERGOCHECK" model
 - A.3.1 Results of the first part regarding key-enters for biomechanical overload and keyquestions regarding other risk factors
 - A.3.2 Results concerning the "quick assessment" for biomechanical overload of the upper limbs
 - A.3.3 Results concerning the "quick assessment" for manual load lifting
 - A.3.4 Results concerning the "quick assessment" for manual carrying and pushing/pulling loads
 - A.3.5 Results concerning the "quick assessment" for awkward postures
 - A.3.6 Results concerning the "quick assessment" for chemical and biological pollutants A.3.7 Results concerning the "quick assessment" and "preliminary analysis" for work-related stress
 - A.3.8 Summary of final results
 - A.4 A comparison between the summary of final results for the various homogeneous groups conclusions
- Annex B (informative) Criteria and mathematical models for analysing exposure to biomechanical overload in multitask jobs featuring complex macro-cycles (e.g. weekly, monthly, annual turnover)
 - B.1 Introduction
 - B.2 Daily job rotation
 - B.2.1 Daily rotation of jobs featuring repetitive tasks
 - B.2.2 Daily manual lifting task rotations
 - B.3 Rotations over periods longer than a day: the macro cycle (e.g. weekly, monthly, yearly)
 - B.3.1 Main elements of this procedure
 - B.3.2 Identification of the rotation period (macro-cycle) and the predefined macro cycle periods as week, month, year
 - B.3.3 Identification of all the manual tasks typical of certain production present in a macrocycle (job analysis) and identification of the homogeneous groups of workers
 - B.3.4 Analysis of the duration and sequence of all the various manual tasks performed by each homogeneous group over the macro-cycle
 - B.3.5 Analysis of biomechanical overload typical of each individual task the intrinsic risk value of each task to prepare the "basic tasks list with all the intrinsic risk evaluation"
 - B.3.6 Reconstruction of the artificial working day (or fictitious day) representative of macrocycle (in term of total net duration of work and duration of each task) performed by each homogeneous group
 - B.3.7 Recalculation of intrinsic risk indicators according to the real task duration
 - B.3.8 Application of the final formulas, used for daily rotations, to calculate the final risk index both for checklist OCRA and for NIOSH lifting index LI (MLI, CLI; VLI) and other risk assessment methods
 - B.3.8.1 General
 - B.3.8.2 Application of the final formulas, used for daily rotations, to calculate the final risk index both for checklist OCRA
 - B.3.8.3 Application of the final formulas, used for daily rotations, to calculate the final risk index for NIOSH lifting index LI (MLI, CLI; VLI)
 - B.3.8.4 MultiGEI: multitask general exposure index
 - B.4 Conclusions
 - B.5 Examples of evaluating the final risk in exposure to biomechanical overload
 - B.5.1 Introduction

- B.5.2 Risk assessment of repetitive movements using the OCRA checklist in annual multitask exposure
- B.5.3 Evaluation of risk associated with manual load lifting in annual multitask
- B.5.4 Examples of task rotations in weekly/monthly macro-cycles
- Annex C (informative) Criteria to evaluate working postures of the spine and lower limbs using the TACOS strategy in daily or other macro-cycle multi-task analysis: brief presentation
 - C.1 Preface
 - C.2 Why a new approach is needed: TACOS method contents and criteria for back and lower limb posture analysis
 - C.3 Example: analysis of a single-task job performed on a full-time or part-time basis with a daily or a yearly job rotation
 - C.4 Timed score calculation methods in multi-task exposure scenarios
 - C.4.1 General
 - C.4.2 Phase 1 Identifying of all the tasks present (i.e. in a cultivation) and calculating intrinsic scores for postures for each task
 - C.4.3 Phase 2 Identification of a homogeneous group
 - C.4.4 Phase 3 Calculation criteria and results of posture risk assessment
 - C.5 Conclusions

Page count: 133